## Amendments to the Specification

Please amend the specification as follows:

On page 8 after the paragraph ending on line 24, insert:

-A dose dependent effect was observed with the optimal concentration being 100 μM for the uncapped peptide. However, with the capped peptide better migration compared to the uncapped peptide was observed at a 10-fold lower concentration. The respective scramble peptides showed fewer cells migrating. Figure 1C shows the migration of endothelial cells in a modified Boyden chamber assay in response to various treatments. Both IGD and GGIGDGG cause increased migratory response compared to either the negative control of the corresponding scramble peptides.--

On page 9 at line 9, after "See Fig. 2.", insert:

--It can be seen that with the capped tripeptide the proliferative response was nearly twice as great as that of the uncapped tripeptide. In either case the scramble peptides showed a significantly lower response than the active peptides.--

On page 9 at line 26, after "See Figs. 3A and 3B", insert:

--Fig. 3A shows data as a percent change over negative control, the negative being set at 100%. It can be seen that the capped peptide was better than the uncapped peptide at equivalent concentrations. As shown in Fig. 3B, the vessel density in 7 day old quail embryos treated with the capped IGD motif (GGIGDGG) resulted in increased vessel density compared to either the carrier alone (povidone) or the scramble peptide (GGDIGGG) treatment.--

Please delete the Sequence Listing on pages 16-17 and replace it with the following paragraph:

-- The appended Sequence Listing is incorporated herein by reference.--

Please replace Figures 1A, 1B, 1C, 2, 3A, and 3B with the attached replacement sheets and delete Figures 4, 5, and 6 from the application.

3. \$9.07